

and a host of others.







# NEWS AND VIEWS

## Sacco-Vanzetti

### Police Suppression

One of the most sinister governmental developments that the Sacco-Vanzetti case has brought to light is unauthorized, unwarranted and illegal prevention and suppression of Sacco-Vanzetti meetings all over the United States.

This is the situation: Two men are arrested and charged with murder and are about to be executed under circumstances that give many people every reason to believe that a bloody frame-up about to be consummated, or at least that men have been convicted who are about to die under conditions of prejudice, haste and brutal disregard for fair legal processes. It is very important under these circumstances that public opinion be permitted to hear both sides and express judgment, not only because the case of two men who may be innocent and involved, but because the process that may be devised, illegal or criminal may result.

Apart from what a few misguided bomb-throwing fools may have attempted, and we want to say right here that we think it far more likely that the bomb incidents were the work of detectives for the purpose of prejudicing public opinion—apart from these very few and far spread incidents—a very large element of honest, peace loving and liberty loving people attempted meetings to arouse public opinion against the double danger we have described. Suddenly in Boston and Los Angeles, Chicago and other notorious centers of suppression in the United States the civic authorities took it upon themselves to suppress, not only street meetings of this character, but indoor meetings in Chicago and New York were street gatherings broken up by the aid of police clubs, shots and tear gas, but later halls were closed against the protesters. These kinds of actions spread until it became practically impossible to hold a Sacco-Vanzetti meeting anywhere in the United States.

Upon what law or Constitutional authority, we would like to know, were these peaceful meetings prevented or suppressed? Since when has it become illegal for the people of the United States to assemble peacefully and protest against a government in the Constitution? When and by what means has this basic provision of the Constitution been set aside?

The truth of the matter is THAT EVERY PEACEFUL MEETING IN THE UNITED STATES FOR THE RESCUE OF THE SACCO-VANZETTI AFFAIR THAT HAS BEEN SUPPRESSED OR PREVENTED, HAS SUFFERED THIS TREATMENT IN CLEAR VIOLATION OF THE BASIC LAWS OF THIS COUNTRY. They have been violating every article of the Constitution by closing off every holding law violators who took the law in their own hands as a bunch mob might do and get away with it because they have possession of the machinery of government and have the backing of the dominant financial and political interests. This vicious practice of local authorities taking the law in their own hands at the behest of dominant interests is growing at a dangerous rate in America. The equally reprehensible and dangerous practice of the people of the country and the timely submitting of a petition to Congress for the restoration of democracy is the free development and expression of public opinion on every issue that concerns the welfare of the people. When public opinion cannot develop and express itself normally we will no longer have a republic or a democracy.

## Why Plutocrats Want to Keep Coolidge

The extent to which "Business" has controlled the government in Washington and the reasons for the suppression of public opinion against Coolidge said, "I don't choose to be revealed in a confidential letter which was mailed to a select group of all parts of the country last week. It was written by a seasoned newspaper lackey of the interests who has been stationed as one of their watchdogs in Washington.

Here are some statements contained in this letter that ought to make ordinary citizens do a little thinking.

"Mr. Coolidge as an individual is a matter and Mr. Coolidge as the symbol of a system of government is another," says the letter.

What kind of government does Mr. Coolidge "symbolize"? The writer endeavors to enlighten us:

"There has been built up in the last decade a remarkable system of government by experts, and there has been a great development of mutual effort between government and business.

"Hardly an important decision has been made in Washington in four years, even in the bureau, until the leaders in the industries affected have been consulted and their practical advice given.

"Quite aside from party considerations, there has come into being a sort of 'Government of Best Minds'—most of the 'Best Minds' not being in office at all.

"Responsible elements in Washington hold that business cannot afford to permit factional uprisings in the Republican party.

"They hold it is the duty of business promptly to concentrate on one candidate and sell him overwhelmingly to the country."

Unless Coolidge is re-elected, says this writer, a number of terrible things may happen. Among them he mentions the possibility that:

"Mr. Mellon may not be retained as head of the treasury and the 'Reorganization' of the Farm Loan Board may not be put through.

It will be recalled that Mr. Mellon is one of the richest men and most prominent trust magnates in America. By all means the trust magnates must keep their hands on the United States treasury.

As for the "Reorganization" of the Farm Loan Board, prominent farm-

ers have already revealed that this is a Wall Street scheme to get control of the board.

In case the Democrats should win the election instead of the Republicans, this writer shows that Big Business has fully prepared for such an eventuality by getting control of the Democratic party and picking its own candidates. He says:

"Responsible Democratic opinion is that, in the event of an unsatisfactory Republican candidate, the Democrats could win with Owen Young."

Mr. Young is one of J. Pierpont Morgan's hired men. He is the head of General Electric, mother of the Power Trust.

## The Passing of the Invisible Government

What a spectacle! Dwight Morrow, member of the firm of J. P. Morgan and company, and admitted "closest political adviser of President Coolidge," makes a pilgrimage to South Dakota for the avowed purpose of persuading the Republican candidate, Charles G. Dawes, to run in the third term. Without doubt, this mission may be considered as an official act of Wall Street in the selection of the next president of the United States. It brings out once more so plainly that only the most helpless and stupid of the people could be deceived by the party of the money power. The fact that Coolidge is an integral member of the royal family of magistrates that rules America.

Morrow was the two were mere boys. They were schoolmates together. As they grew to manhood Morrow ascended the industrial and financial ladder of capitalism until he became its chief agent in the White House.

Ever since Morrow and Coolidge attained these pre-eminent positions, they have maintained a most close and cordial relation. Morrow's visit to the White House are notorious. He has invariably been on hand to give "political advice" exactly expressive of the desires of Wall Street at every crisis or important turn in the policies of this country since Coolidge first became president.

As a matter of record in the daily papers, Morrow advised with Coolidge just before Italian Fascism was financed and made a world power by the straight-out reduction of the Italian debt at least 50 per cent. Morrow advised Coolidge just prior to the administration moves that resulted in the blocking of public ownership at Muscle Shoals, the blocking of the investigation of the Aluminum Trust of which the present Secretary of the Treasury is one of the owners, the leaving of the Ward Broad Trust; hushing the sugar scandal; every crisis in Mexican and Nicaraguan affairs, and numerous other matters.

Considering this record, and keeping in mind who he is, what he represents and the well known fact that he is Coolidge's closest political adviser, Morrow's visit and its openly announced purpose is about the rawest thing that Wall Street has put over recently. The stupid voting mules of America have earned such deserved contempt from the magnates of the latter no longer attempt to conceal the fact that Big Business controls the government. THE INVISIBLE GOVERNMENT IS PASSING—IT IS COMING OUT IN THE OPEN.

## Forcing Governments Into Production

A most interesting public ownership development is taking place in Prussia. The Prussian state has discovered that one of the easiest and most satisfactory ways of producing revenues is to go into business and make the revenues out of business. Prussia already owns and operates a dozen industries and they are all paying despite the fact that the strength of the Social Democratic party and trade union movement is so great that they have forced the highest of union wages and the best of labor conditions together with a considerable amount of labor control or industrial self-government into these industries. One of the most notable of these industries working successfully under these conditions is the coal industry.

Now the Prussian state will soon appear in the new role—that of dance hall keeper. What is more, the new dance hall will be run in connection with the so-called Kroll opera, one of Berlin's two state-owned opera houses.

The new opera dance hall will cost \$750,000 and will accommodate 6,500 persons. For special occasions it can be united with the opera house itself, the two buildings accommodating nearly 10,000 people.

Properly speaking, this development in Prussia toward public ownership is state capitalism, rather than Socialism. The state as a capitalist has discovered that it can conduct industries better than private capitalists, give labor more satisfactory conditions, and make profits. Prussia is definitely developing a policy of increasing its revenues by this method. There are indications that this process is spreading to other countries and becoming a factor in the present transitional epoch to Socialism. Many states in the present post-war epoch are in a condition bordering on bankruptcy. Getting enough revenues to operate is becoming the paramount question with them. Old sources of revenue are breaking down or becoming exhausted in these war-depleted countries. THIS SITUATION MAY FORCE MANY GOVERNMENTS INTO PRODUCTIVE AND PROFITABLE ACTIVITIES.

On the other hand, the growing labor and Socialist movement may transform many of these governments into labor governments. State capitalism, under a labor government would become Socialism by changing the purpose from production for profit to production for service and use for all the people and by separating the industries from political con-

trol and introducing into them complete democracy—industrial self-government.

## Chiang Kai-shek Teaches a Lesson

The collapse of Chiang Kai-shek's government and his sudden flight to a deserved ignominy and disgrace conveys a startling lesson. This traitor was dragged out of obscurity and made a famous military leader by the great Socialist, Sun Yat Sen, and his radical followers. When he was in the midst of his brilliant series of victories over the northern militarists, he was surrounded by military experts from Russia; the Nationalist government of Canton, which he served, was advised by a group of Russian experts, and radical elements were behind him solidly winning his battles by their great hope, idealism and glowing enthusiasm.

His victories followed in such swift succession that the world was astounded. Another military genius has arisen, answered Chiang Kai-shek, accepted this interpretation, and proceeded on the theory that he was a genius on whom the revolution depended for its ultimate success. This view rapidly turned his head and led to one of the most tragic and heart-breaking results in history. On the very eve of final victory, Chiang Kai-shek, utterly poisoned by this selfish, individualistic notion, turned suddenly and separated himself from every element and force that had brought him to this peak of success. He turned against his Russian advisers and sent them hurrying to cover in fear of their lives. He repudiated the entire radical left of the Kuomintang and sent its leaders into hiding. He bloody suppressed the strong and growing trade unions that had thrown themselves valiantly to his support, with perfect trust in him. He dragged thousands of radicals who had fired his armies and his government with idealism and enthusiasm and for a time made these seem invincible, and he ruthlessly killed them.

It is significant that Chiang Kai-shek never won a pronounced victory after he had done this. When he slew the social idealism of his movement, he slew his soul. He had only a corpse left. His soulless government and his soulless army would not function and fight with sufficient vigor to win victory. The prospect of fighting just merely to have another military dictator, just merely to go back to the old, dreary, cruel regime of the past could not stir them enough to overcome their fears. The whole movement, recently so full of invincible hope, cracked, broke, disintegrated. Chiang Kai-shek was its betrayer, its assassin, its grave digger!

Chinese nationalism, merely as nationalism, cannot save China from world capitalism and world imperialism. It cannot offer anything more than another capitalist nation, another imperialist power. The Chinese people will not fight hard enough for these old, outworn and detestable things to win. NOTHING BUT THE POWERFUL APPEAL OF SOCIALISM WILL SAVE CHINA TO REAL INDEPENDENCE AND REAL CIVILIZATION, AND AS SURELY AS THIS IS BEING WRITTEN, A SOCIALIST REVOLUTION WILL ARISE OUT OF ALL THESE PRESENT TROUBLES AND SAVE CHINA.

## Was It a Plant?

That bombing of the home of a Sacco-Vanzetti juror that could be heard for eight miles and did not kill anybody sounds like a detective explosion that can be heard around the world. It took place conveniently for prosecutors while the Supreme Court of Massachusetts was preparing its refusal of a new trial. All most simultaneously with the alleged bomb explosion, Governor Fuller also exploded as though the same force that set off the bomb set him off also. His words were well chosen and to the point and could not have been improved upon by an additional power to inflame popular prejudice against the prisoners.

One of the big benefits from public ownership of hydroelectric power in Ontario is that it is furnishing the farmer with power so cheap that it is steadily reducing the cost of production and giving his home all the conveniences of city life. It is keeping the farmers contented and on the farm. When the farmers understand their own interests they will vote for any public ownership measures that will give them cheaper power, utilities and industrial products and marketing facilities. A strong Socialist Party in America could get all these things for the farmers.

## CHICAGO SOCIALIST PARTY PICNIC RIVERVIEW PARK SUNDAY, AUGUST 28

**SPEAKERS:**  
WILLIAM COLEMAN,  
Wisconsin Socialist State Senator.  
GEORGE R. KIRKPATRICK,  
Nationally Known Socialist Speaker and Writer.  
WILLIAM H. HENRY,  
National Executive Secretary, Socialist Party of America.

Grounds open all day for picnic, games, and dancing. Music by Henke's Band. SPEAKING BEGINS AT 4 o'clock P. M. Price of admission at the gate 30 cents, or \$1 for four tickets if ordered from Socialist county headquarters, 803 W. Madison Street, Phone, Haymarket 2010. Children under 12 admitted free. RIVERVIEW PARK is on Roscoe, Belmont and Western Avenue.

## Cal Coolidge

### Goes Fishing

By Oscar Ameringer

All kinds of reasons have been given why Coolidge declined to run for a third term. My private hunch is that he threw up the sponge when he saw himself in the movies in that cowboy outfit.

If my surmise is right, the man really deserves more credit for having common sense than is commonly extended to him by the intelligentsia. The master minds who staged the South Dakota Circus are now without their star performer and unless some medicine, snake or corn salve show takes pity on these miniature Barnum Barkers, their talent will be lost to the country. Somebody with a late copy of Billboards on hand please see if he can't find a suitable berth for the boys.

But going from the ridiculous to the sublime, didn't I tell you folks some months ago, that I didn't think Cal would be a candidate next year? This revelation came to me when he chose Hoover to direct the relief work in the Mississippi Valley. Cautious Cal, who can smell danger further than any other critter known to science, would never have put Herb Hoover on the front pages unless he was convinced that his own chances for another four years in the White House were too slim for comfort.

But how about that lurid side show in the Black Hills and all those hectic hits for popularity? Well, did you ever start out to go fishing with a buddy and the time back because it looks like rain while your partner goes on, and by noon it clears up and you make a new start and drive like the devil to make up for lost time and when you get to the fishing hole your buddy meets you with a string of fish and the time back because you chose not to go fishing after all?

## The Liberalism of Capitalist Newspapers

You can't trust a capitalist sheet in liberal clothing. It will prove recreant to true liberalism at every real crisis. These remarks are caused by the editorials in the New York World in regard to the Sacco-Vanzetti case. The New York World more than a year ago did splendid service for the Sacco-Vanzetti cause by conducting a striking expose of this case. The facts brought out by the World in an extensive series of able articles by World writers who made personal investigations showed convincingly and conclusively that the trial was most unfair and that the prisoners were being railroaded to the electric chair.

But the investigation, apparently, did not cost the World anything in the way of real loyalty to the capitalist interests. The Sacco-Vanzetti case had not then become an affair that threatened to expose and undermine the whole capitalist system of justice that it became later. On the other hand, it was "good copy" and helped sell the World.

Later, when the Sacco-Vanzetti affair became a dangerous issue to the whole capitalist system of America, and a complete closing of capitalist ranks became necessary to prevent the exposure of the truth about the Sacco-Vanzetti case, the World was found standing shoulder to shoulder with such sweet smelling capitalists as the New York Times and Chicago Tribune.

The World had in its employ a certain column writer, Hayward Brown, under the explicit understanding that it was Brown's column and we would be allowed full liberty of expression in it. Matters went well until the Sacco-Vanzetti affair threatened the authority and power of capitalism and the World had to shout by the editor that it became loyal to its contract with Hayward Brown and paying him off because he had continued to express the same sincerity and liberalism on the Sacco-Vanzetti issue that the World a year before had expressed. Brown has since joined the staff of the New York Nation, a real liberal publication without any "ifs" or "ands."

## Another Geneva Dove of Peace

Japan, one of the recent doves of peace at the peace coming parley in Geneva, is keeping fully abreast with the United States and Great Britain in the campaign of the press against the Chinese Nationalist movement. Japan was largely responsible for the recent defeat of the Southern Chinese Nationalist armies. She extended her military occupation of Shanghai, seized the railroads necessary for the Southern advance against the Southern army and supplied the Northern armies with most efficient and murderous munitions. Japan has done this very thing whenever the Chinese Nationalist movement was about to win.

## Sign On The Dotted Line And Get Things Started Sub-A-Month Membership Pledge Organized Army of the American Appeal

American Appeal, 2633 Washington Blvd., Chicago:  
I hereby agree to obtain for the American Appeal in the future at least one subscription per month, or its equivalent. Enroll me in the Organized Army of the American Appeal.

Name ..... Address .....

# APPEAL'S FEATURE DEPARTMENT

Harry W. Laidler, Editor

## Toward Conscious Science

### In XII Articles

#### Article VII

### By Ernest Untermann

The avowed object of Marxian science is to make the workers conscious of the natural and social laws which rule their struggle for emancipation from capitalism. Marx and Engels started out from the fact that it is not consciousness which determines existence, but rather existence which determines consciousness. But their avowed aim was to enable the workers, through conscious Marxian science, to put consciousness into a position where it would be capable more and more of controlling at least the social conditions of existence by understanding the laws of social development.

The start was fine. But right after this good start, the question arose: What is to be done, if the Marxians and the workers can't agree as to what are the determining laws of development, and what are the proper ways and means to secure control over them?

So far as my personal decision went, when I arrived at this milestone in my life, I concluded that the first thing to do was to classify all the differences in Marxian theory and practice which had arisen during the historical development of the founders of scientific Socialism, and of their acknowledged disciples, and to see what they indicated.

I felt sure that whatever inconsistencies remained in the Marxian complex and were perpetuated by teaching or example, must be unconscious. Sometimes human inconsistencies are entertaining. G. B. Shaw got many a laugh out of them. His "Socialist" is one of them.

W. W. Jacobs made a name poking fun at them. Others are tragic, stuff for dramatists and tragedians. This is the theme of all great dramas in which fate overtakes the heroes, from ancient Greece down to the present day.

The inconsistencies of masses are always tragic. When millions suffer agonies and death through the inconsistencies of their leaders, as they do all through human history, the serious philosophical scientist cannot merely enquire about the cause. He suffers all the agonies of the race and ponders the problem of their causes and prevention.

As my research piled up material from all angles of Socialist theory and practice, it came to me with overwhelming force that I was investigating a mass tragedy. When it was all sifted, classified and spread out to show its meaning, I checked it over and over, because I did not want to add another tragedy.

## Law of Mental Development Cause of Conflicts

For this evidence shows, that the brilliant mind who had blazed a path to a part of the truth about the awakening of the workers to a consciousness of the laws of evolution which rule their own historical mission, were themselves in need of being saved from a law of mental development which, unconsciously to them, kept their own minds at war with themselves.

Most people are not as anxious as Robert Burns to see themselves as others see them. Various experiments have shown that the older Marxians were not anxious to see themselves as I see them. But I must insist, because Marxian science cannot stop where Marx, Engels and Kautsky left it. I should like to do what is possible to save the new generations of Socialists from the mis-

taken of the elder generations. The Marxian dialectic, uncorrected by a science of thinking, labors since the days of Marx and Engels under many mistakes. I mention only some of them here as illustrations of my point.

## Science of Thinking and Historical Materialism

All the elder Marxians fancy that they have overcome all metaphysical (static) thought by carrying the materialist dialectics into history, economics and politics. They decline to work out a science of thinking. They assign a secondary role to it in the theory and practice of the Labor and Socialist movement. Kautsky says it is immaterial what theory of understanding is used in connection with historical materialism, although he prefers Josef Dietzgen's.

This means that it isn't necessary for the mind to think in terms of scientific Socialism to have a conscious scientific grasp of its own workings and world relations. As a result of this mistake, however, Marxians like Kautsky, Bernstein, Mehring, Plechanov made widely different statements about the scope and meaning of historical materialism. One claimed that it had achieved a science of thinking and monistic world conception in addition to a conception of history. Another said that historical materialism was merely a method for the study of social mass movements and was never intended to be more. Another thought that historical materialism was not much good, anyway. They also differed about the main driving force in what Marx called "the totality of the conditions of production" which form the basis of human society.

Not realizing that thinking had also become a natural science, behind their backs, as it were, the elder Marxians failed to find scientific reconciliation with the other natural sciences. Marx, and still more Engels and Kautsky, labored hard to fit their social science into the frame of all science, others, however, tried to draw such sharp lines of distinction between natural and social science that their common relations were obscured. In spite of this relapse into metaphysical ideas of isolation, they asserted at other times that Marxian dialectics includes natural science. But if asked, whether they claimed that the materialist dialectics were models for all natural sciences, they denied it. They declared that no method of thought can serve all sciences as a pure means of distinguishing error from truth.

## Miscalculating Revolutionary Crises

The debate between Marxians over the Marxian tactics and the necessary improvement of Marxian economics never led to any scientific agreement. Because they had declined to get a grip on the law dominating their own mental evolution, they could never agree on what extent the Marxian political compromises are or are not violations of their fundamental principles. Although they talked much about consciousness, they never found out how conscious, subconscious or unconscious mental movements affect the theory and practice of their own historical dialectics.

Because they always studied only isolated parts of the social development without relation to natural and mental laws of evolution, they often expected the collapse of capitalism through "revolutionary crises" in periods in which neither force nor constructive work made a transition to Socialism probable.

For the same reason they often made demands on the capitalist powers of the nations which could be realized only by international action for

which the conditions were not mature enough at the time.

## Catastrophic vs Constructive Tactics

The leading Marxians always vacillated between catastrophic and constructive tactics, and many still do so today. This indecision is at the bottom of the deep chasm between right and left wing tactics. If it is decided whether the transition to Socialism will proceed by force or by peaceful construction, then the Socialist parties should prepare for both eventualities. But instead, they split into Communists who prepare for force, and moderate Socialists who, while preparing for peaceful construction, admit more and more that they may have to be prepared to defend themselves against reactionary force.

## Socialist Inconsistencies

The reason for this inconsistency is failure to come to a definite conclusion as to whether class antagonisms will intensify all along the line or soften in some parts of the general line-up. And while they were forced more and more to face a co-operation with some old parties, yet they continued to fight militarism by naive pacifist and militia ideas. They did not realize the necessity of organizing the military forces on their own side, until the after-war revolutions suddenly overtook them unprepared. They adopted the same purely negative attitude towards colonial imperialism ignoring despite their materialist dialectics the natural dependence of colonial politics upon the world needs of expanding capitalism. They never realized that imperialism cannot be cancelled offhand, but must be democratized like all other phases of capitalism. It was the same with capitalist budgets. They always voted against them for propaganda reasons, as if they could walk into Socialism without any ground under their feet.

For generations they denounced coalitions with old parties and Socialist ministers in capitalist cabinets as violations of sacred Marxian principles, unmindful of the fact that minority parties must necessarily make compromises. But experience is forcing them into coalitions and into capitalist cabinets.

They advocated a general strike not merely as a means of exerting hard pressure for a short time, but as a weapon of social revolution at a time when neither the trade union movement nor the Socialist parties had the power to make a success of it.

They still differ as to whether they should work consistently for the progressive democratization of all forms of government, or for the automatic overthrow of monarchies, or whether they should first overthrow all monarchies.

They left in doubt just what signs indicate the "maturity" of capitalism from Socialism, and by what methods a decision is to be reached whether a certain industry or nation is ripe for socialization.

These are just some of the flagrant mistakes which Socialist parties under Marxian leadership make as a regular thing. There are many others. I have not the space for them here. They will be stated more fully in a book for which these articles are intended.

All these mistakes show the same general mind pattern, a certain lack of correlation in the mixture. There are evidences of the fact that the Marxians lose already understood connections of economics, politics and consciousness, or that they overlook external or mental obstacles which interfere with their plans. All of them are crying for a better Marxian science.

## England's New War Terror

Great Britain has just perfected the greatest mechanized army in the history of the world. It is encased completely in steel and travels on traction wheels.

Now Great Britain, undoubtedly in the interest of peace, improves on this monster by making it an invisible thing that can strike in the dark. This is what the news dispatch says.

Army maneuvers were conducted at Salisbury Plain last night to discover whether a mass force of Britain's new mechanized army, consisting of tanks, tankets, artillery, and engineering appliances, could move across the country in the darkness without showing a light or without being visible from the air. Never in military history has such a formidable force in mass formation lumbered and thundered across the country side with airplanes hovering overhead watching carefully for lack of discipline or failure at concealment during the halts for refueling and other purposes.

What are you doing to prevent war and to put an end to the present war-breeding system?

## A Higher Patriotism Prof. F. Steinert

Any standard dictionary defines the word patriotism as love for one's country and its general welfare. One can be a patriot in times of peace as well as in times of war. In fact, there are greater battles to be fought in times of peace. To be truly patriotic is to work and strive for the betterment of the social and economic conditions of the masses of the people. To labor for justice and right and liberty and uphold and perpetuate the constitutional guarantees of individual freedom: that is the test of true American patriotism.

It does not take much patriotic fervor to shout for vengeance, for blood, for war, but it is the supreme test of love for one's country and its general welfare to fight almost alone for the right in the face of organized wrong.

As Americans we talk about being patriotic. At heart we are. Worthy possessors of revolutionary traditions and ideals, we must abandon that narrow conception of patriotism, "our country, right or wrong," and work and strive and agitate for that higher and nobler patriotism of which our flag is symbolic. "Our country must always be right."



